Application No. Applicant(s) 10/622,224 ISEBERG ET AL. Interview Summary **Examiner Art Unit** HUYEN D. LE 2615 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Mead Killian (1) HUYEN D. LE. (2) William MWesley 26,521 Date of Interview: 07 June 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative If Yes, brief description: Hearing and button receiver Claim(s) discussed: 5 Identification of prior art discussed: Kelsen & Killion Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) W/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed</u> the Kelsey, Killion & Miyahra et al. reterences (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner's signature, if required